

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/031,092	JESTY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David J. Venci	1641	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) David J. Venci (USPTO).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mitchell Bernstein (Darby & Darby).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** June 21, 2006
**Time:** 09:30
**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*


Prior art documents discussed:

*none*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner wished to confirm whether Applicants filed a response to the outstanding Office Action, dated November 18, 2005. Mitchell Bernstein informed Examiner that no response was filed and the case has been abandoned.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)